Surface instability in nodal noncentrosymmetric superconductors

Carsten Timm,1,∗ Stefan Rex,2 and P. M. R. Brydon3,†

1Institute of Theoretical Physics, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany
2Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
3Condensed Matter Theory Center and Joint Quantum Institute, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

(Rceived 8 April 2015; revised manuscript received 29 April 2015; published 13 May 2015)

We study the stability of topologically protected zero-energy flat bands at the surface of nodal noncentrosymmetric superconductors, accounting for the alteration of the gap near the surface. Within a self-consistent mean-field theory, we show that the flat bands survive in a broad temperature range below the bulk transition temperature. There is a second transition at a lower temperature, however, below which the system spontaneously breaks time-reversal symmetry. The surface bands are shifted away from zero energy and become weakly dispersive. Simultaneously, a spin polarization and an equilibrium current develops in the surface region.
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Introduction. The topological properties of gapless electronic systems have recently attracted much attention [1–6]. Important examples are time-reversal-symmetric noncentrosymmetric superconductors (NCSs) [1,2,7–11], which are characterized by strong antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling (SOC) and a parity-mixed pairing state [12]. Many NCSs display evidence of gaps with line nodes [13–18]. This is exciting, as the line nodes of NCSs with dominant triplet pairing are topologically nontrivial defects in momentum space [1–4]. Zero-energy flat bands of Majorana fermions are predicted to appear within the projections of these nodal lines onto the surface Brillouin zone (BZ). Such flat bands have clear experimental signatures, such as sharp zero-bias peaks in tunneling spectra [2,11], equilibrium currents parallel to the interface between the NCS and a ferromagnet [19,20], and characteristic quasiparticle interference patterns [21].

The topological properties of NCSs and consequently the protection of the surface states are controlled by the superconducting gaps, which arise from interactions. Properly accounting for these interactions may qualitatively alter the surface physics. For example, a surface tends to suppress some gap components and enhance others [22–28]. This may change the conclusions of the aforementioned studies [1–6,9–11], which imposed unrealistic uniform gaps. Flat bands with their high density of states are particularly prone to instabilities. In deed, the zero-energy flat bands at the (110) surface of d-wave superconductors with time-reversal symmetry (TRS) [29] are predicted to be unstable towards a time-reversal-symmetry-breaking (TRSB) state [22–28,30]. This has been supported by some tunneling and transport experiments [31–33] but was not seen in others [34–38]. d-wave superconductors are however qualitatively different from NCSs in that the zero-energy flat bands are degenerate in the first case but nondegenerate in the second.

In this Rapid Communication, we study the stability of the surface zero-energy flat bands of nodal NCSs by performing self-consistent mean-field (MF) calculations in real space for a slab of finite thickness. For concreteness, we consider a model with point group C4v, which is realized for CePt3Si [39], CeRhSi3 [40], and CeIrSi3 [41]. We show that an instability to a TRSB state can occur and study its signatures.

Model and mean-field theory. We start from a tight-binding Hamiltonian for an NCS with C4v point group, $H = H_0 + H_{\text{int}}$. The noninteracting part is

$$H_0 = -\mu \sum_j c_j^\dagger c_j - t \sum_{\langle j j' \rangle} (c_j^\dagger c_{j'} + c_{j'}^\dagger c_j) + i\lambda \sum_{\langle j j' \rangle} (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j j'}) \cdot \left( c_j^\dagger \sigma \mathbf{c} - c_{j'}^\dagger \sigma \mathbf{c} \right),$$

with the chemical potential $\mu$, the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude $t$, and the Rashba SOC strength $\lambda$. The SOC term breaks inversion symmetry. The annihilation operator $c_j = (c_j^\uparrow, c_j^\downarrow)^T$ is a two-component spinor, $\sigma$ is the vector of Pauli matrices, and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j j'}$ is the unit vector pointing from site $j$ to site $i$ of a simple cubic lattice. Attractive interactions at the same site and between nearest neighbors in the $xy$ plane are described by

$$H_{\text{int}} = -U_s \sum_j c_j^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_j c_j + U_t \sum_{\langle j j' \rangle} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}^\dagger c_j c_{j'} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_{j'}^\dagger c_j c_{j'} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}.$$

The interaction is decoupled in the pairing channel. We define the singlet and triplet order parameters $\Delta_j^s \equiv (U_s/2)(c_j^\uparrow c_j^\downarrow + c_j^\downarrow c_j^\uparrow)$ and $\Delta_j^t \equiv U_t (c_j^\uparrow c_j^\uparrow - \sigma \mathbf{c} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}^\dagger c_j c_{j'} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}^\dagger c_j c_{j'}$), respectively, where the site indices $j, j'$ in $\Delta_j^s$ are restricted to nearest-neighbor sites in the $xy$ plane. The triplet vector order parameter is taken to be parallel to the effective SOC field, $\Delta_j^t = \Delta_j^s \mathbf{z} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j j'}$. This choice avoids the triplet-pair-breaking effect of the SOC, and is therefore energetically favorable in the bulk [42].

We first consider the MF solution for an extended system, assuming spatially uniform gaps $\Delta_j^s = \Delta_s$ and $\Delta_j^t = \Delta_t$. Details of the calculation are given in Sec. I of the Supplemental Material [43]. We find that the singlet and triplet gaps have the same phase, which can be set to zero, so that TRS is preserved. SOC splits the bands and thus also the Fermi surface according to the helicity of states [2]. Since the triplet order parameter is parallel to the SOC, pairing only occurs between states with the same helicity. We determine interaction strengths $U_s, U_t$ that lead to flat zero-energy surface bands under the assumption of uniform gaps. The resulting surface states have been studied
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in detail in Refs. [2,11,44]. This is realized for the parameters $t=1$ (hence, $t$ is our unit of energy), $\lambda=1.5$, $\mu=-3$, $U_s=5.0$, $U_t=5.4$ at the temperature $T=0.0025$ (setting $k_y=0$), giving bulk MF gaps $\Delta_{x}$ = 0.704 and $\Delta_{y}$ = 1.006. We consequently find a gap with line nodes on the (smaller) positive-helicity Fermi surface, but a full gap on the (larger) negative-helicity Fermi surface [45]. Figure 1(a) shows the projection of the two Fermi surfaces and the nodal lines onto the (101) plane. The topological argument from Refs. [1,2] predicts that a (101) surface hosts flat zero-energy bands within the region bounded by the projected nodal lines. In addition, there is an arc of zero-energy states connecting the two regions with flat bands [2,5,11]. Figure 1(b) shows the bulk gaps $\Delta_{x}$ and $\Delta_{y}$ as functions of temperature.

We next turn to the MF solution for a slab of thickness $W$ with (101) surfaces. We introduce new coordinates $W_i = \{k_m, k_y\}$ in the surface BZ, defined by $-\pi < k_m \leq \pi$ and $-\pi/\sqrt{2} < k_y \leq \pi/\sqrt{2}$. The MF calculations are performed for a slab of thickness $W = 300$, using the same parameters as for the bulk calculation.

Further details are presented in Sec. II of the Supplemental Material [43].

Spontaneous breaking of TRS. Our central results are summarized in Figs. 2 and 3: At sufficiently low temperatures, the singlet and triplet gaps develop imaginary components where the subscript $l$ denotes the (identical) $l$ coordinate of sites $i$ and $j$, while $l+1/2$ in $\Delta_{x(l+1/2)}$ is the mean of the $l$ coordinates of sites $i$ and $j$. We Fourier transform in the directions parallel to the slab, introducing the two-dimensional momentum vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_m, k_y)$ in the surface BZ, defined by $-\pi < k_y \leq \pi$ and $-\pi/\sqrt{2} < k_m \leq \pi/\sqrt{2}$. The MF calculations are performed for a slab of thickness $W = 300$, using the same parameters as for the bulk calculation.
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) Projection onto the (101) plane of the positive-helicity Fermi surface (thin solid line), the negative-helicity Fermi surface (dashed line), and the superconducting gap nodes on the former (heavy solid lines), for the bulk NCS. The gray areas denote the zero-energy flat bands predicted to exist at (101) surfaces under the assumption of uniform gaps [1,2]. The plot is restricted to momenta in the (101) surface BZ, where $k_m = (k_x - k_y)/\sqrt{2}$. The parameters are $t = 1$, $\lambda = 1.5$, $\mu = -3$, $U_s = 5.0$, $U_t = 5.4$, and $T = 0.0025$. (b) Mean-field gaps $\Delta_x$ (solid black) and $\Delta_y$ (dashed red) as functions of temperature $T$.
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Self-consistent gaps $\Delta_x$, $\Delta_{x(l+1/2)}$, $\Delta_x$ for a slab of thickness $W = 300$ and parameters as in Fig. 1. (a) and (b) show the real and imaginary parts, respectively. The lines denote the bulk gaps $\Delta_x$ (solid black) and $\Delta_y$ (dashed red). Inset: Sketch of the bottom ($l = 0$) surface of a (101) slab, showing the coordinates $l$ and $m$. The $y$ axis points into the plane of the drawing.
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Self-consistent gaps $\Delta_x$, $\Delta_{x(l+1/2)}$, $\Delta_x$ for the surface layer ($l = 0$, solid symbols) and at the slab center ($l = W/2 - 1$, open symbols) as functions of temperature. The thickness is $W = 300$, and the parameters are as in Fig. 1. (a) and (b) show the real and imaginary parts, respectively. The imaginary parts for $l = W/2 - 1$ would be indistinguishable from zero and are omitted. The lines in (a) denote the bulk gaps $\Delta_y$ (solid black) and $\Delta_y$ (dashed red) from Fig. 1(b).
close to the surface, spontaneously breaking TRS. This solution is degenerate with a state with complex-conjugated gaps. In the limit $W \to \infty$, the two surfaces are decoupled and there are hence four degenerate TRSB solutions, differing in the signs of the imaginary parts of the gaps close to the surfaces.

The spatial variation of the gaps near the surface in the TRSB phase is shown in Fig. 2. While both the singlet and triplet gaps develop imaginary components near the surface, the real parts of the singlet and triplet gaps are enhanced above and suppressed below their bulk values, respectively. The suppression of the triplet gaps originates from the pair-breaking effect of the surface, which in turn enhances the singlet gap to compensate for the lost condensation energy. The reversal of the suppression of the triplet gaps in the outermost layer can be understood similarly: Since one of the triplet amplitudes is missing at the surface, the others are enhanced.

The gaps converge to their bulk values as we move away from the surface; the gaps at the center of the slab are within 0.01% of their bulk values. Note that the deviation of the imaginary parts from their bulk value (of zero) has a much longer range than that of the real parts. Indeed, close to the center of the slab, we find that $\text{Im} \Delta^y \propto (l - W/2)$ [see Fig. 2(b)]. We have checked that the proportionality constant decreases more rapidly than $W^{-1/2}$ with $W$ so that the gradient energy vanishes for $W \to \infty$. We attribute the slow spatial decay to the enhancement of length scales close to the bulk quantum phase transition to a nodeless singlet-dominated state. This transition can be reached by increasing $U_s$ and decreasing $U_t$ by only 0.067 (not shown).

The evolution of the TRSB state with temperature is shown in Fig. 3, where we plot the gaps $\Delta^s_T$, $\Delta^{s+1/2}_T$, and $\Delta^y_T$ in the surface layer and at the slab center. Upon increasing the temperature, the gaps in the surface layer show a second-order transition at which the imaginary parts vanish and TRS is restored. This occurs at a temperature of $T_s \approx 0.083$, well below the bulk superconducting transition temperature $T_c \approx 0.942$.

**Dispersion and density of states.** In Fig. 4(a) we plot the dispersion for a cut through the surface BZ at $k_y = 0$ at temperatures below and above $T_s$. For $T > T_s$, the zero-energy flat band predicted in Refs. [2,11] is clearly visible for $0.5 \lesssim k_m \lesssim 1.5$; the zero-energy states at $k_m \lesssim 0.5$ form an arc connecting the projections of the nodal rings [2,11]. The TRSB for $T < T_s$ removes the topological protection of the zero-energy flat bands of the TRS state, which are consequently pushed away from zero energy, with a low-temperature energy shift on the order of $T_s$. Since the shift is weakly momentum dependent, the band obtains a nonzero velocity. Due to particle-hole symmetry, the dispersion is odd in $k$. The zero-energy flat bands give a singular contribution to the surface density of states, which can be detected as a sharp zero-bias peak in the tunneling spectrum of an NCS–normal-metal junction [1,10,11]. The shift of the surface bands in the TRSB state causes a splitting of this peak, as shown in Fig. 4(b). This splitting is a key experimental signature of TRSB. Indeed, the observed splitting of the zero-bias peak for tunneling into the (110) surface of the cuprates is important evidence for TRSB in this system [31,32].

**Spin polarization.** Broken TRS is also manifested by a nonzero spin polarization near the surface, which is directed along the $y$ axis. A polarization in other directions is forbidden by mirror symmetry in the $xz$ plane. Figure 5(a) shows the spatial variation of the layer-resolved spin contributions ($s^y_l$); explicit expressions for the spin operator $s_l$ in layer $l$ and its thermal average are given in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material [43]. It is interesting to examine how states at different $k$ contribute to the spin polarization: Due to the strong polarization of the flat-band surface states in the TRS state [44,46], one might expect that the spin polarization largely originates from the shifted flat bands. To check this, we plot in Fig. 5(b) the momentum-resolved contribution to the spin polarization of the half slab defined by $0 \leq l < W/2$ [43]. Surprisingly, the spin polarization is not primarily carried by the shifted flat bands but rather by bulk and perhaps dispersing surface states [2,11] from the region between the projected nodal rings.

**Equilibrium currents.** Furthermore, the absence of TRS permits a nonzero equilibrium surface current [22,24,26]. Indeed, we expect such a current since the surface bands become dispersive and the dispersion is odd in $k_m$; a similar modification of the electronic structure at an interface with a ferromagnet does result in a surface current [19,20]. Explicit expressions for the current operator $j_{lm}$ in layer $l$ and its thermal average are given in Sec. IV of the Supplemental Material [43]. Although charge is not conserved in the superconducting MF state, one can account for the pairing potentials by adding so-called source terms to the continuity equation [47]. For self-consistently calculated gaps, however, the thermal average of the source terms vanishes, and charge conservation is retained [47]. This implies that the current perpendicular to the slab’s surface, i.e., in the $l$ direction, must vanish. Mirror symmetry in the $xz$ plane forbids a current along the $y$ axis [43], leaving only the current along the $m$ direction, defined as $\langle j^m_{lm} \rangle = (\langle \hat{J}^m_{lm} \rangle - \langle \hat{J}^m_{-lm} \rangle) / \sqrt{2}$. $\langle \hat{J}^m_{-lm} \rangle$ is indeed nonzero in the TRSB state: In Fig. 5(a) we plot the current as a function of the layer index $l$, which shows that it is bound to the
surface with a spatial profile similar to the spin polarization. In contrast to the spin polarization, the main contribution to the current stems from surface states within the projected nodal rings, as shown by the momentum-resolved current in a half slab plotted in Fig. 5(c). We have also studied the contributions to the vanishing components \( \langle j_{m}^x \rangle \) and \( \langle j_{m}^y \rangle \), shown in the Supplemental Material [43]. Interestingly, \( \langle j_{m}^y \rangle \) cancels only in the sum over the full surface BZ, showing that bulk states must be included to satisfy charge conservation. Note that the sign of both the spin polarization and the current is reversed for the degenerate solution with complex-conjugated gaps.

The coupling to the electromagnetic field, which is not included here, leads to additional screening currents that exactly balance the spontaneous surface current in the limit \( W \to \infty \). However, these currents build up on the length scale of the magnetic penetration depth \( \lambda \), which in typical NCSs is much larger than the decay length of the surface current, on the order of the coherence length \( \xi \) [12]. In samples with thickness smaller than the penetration depth but larger than the coherence length, it should thus be possible to detect the surface current.

Summary and conclusions. We have studied the stability of zero-energy flat bands at the surface of an NCS within self-consistent MF theory. We find that the flat bands are indeed recovered by the self-consistent calculation within a broad temperature range below the bulk transition temperature \( T_c \).

TRS is spontaneously broken at a much lower temperature \( T_s \), which is signaled by a nonuniform phase of the gaps. This destroys the topological protection for the flat bands, shifting them away from zero energy and giving them finite velocity. Figure 4 shows that at low temperatures the flat bands are displaced by an energy on the order of \( T_s \), which is significantly smaller than the bulk gaps of order \( T_c \). The free-energy gain due to the shift of the flat bands is likely a major driver of the TRSB state, and ultimately limits \( T_s \) as the free-energy gain from the shift is reduced by the broadening of the Fermi function.

The TRSB state leads to clear experimental signatures: a splitting of the zero-bias peak in the tunneling spectrum, a nonvanishing spin polarization at the surface, and a nonvanishing equilibrium charge current parallel to the surface. The latter two effects show that the TRSB state found here is qualitatively different from that predicted for the (110) surface of cuprate superconductors [22–26,30].
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